Attachment to Independent Case Review Report for CDRU # 468 Case File # 95-277821.

Material Examiner Malone (RO)

Remarks:

After research it has been determined that the Laboratory submission 871204039 is incomplete. (no final report) for Examiner Malone (RO), which may be due to a guilty plea as stated in Serial #8 (incoming letter dated April 21, 1988) in the file, at the time of review by the Independent. Scientist.

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT
Independent Review conducted by: Cothy L. Levine
Area(s) of Expertise: Haw Fiber
Review commenced at: 9:00 A/1 (Time), 5 1.20 199 (Date)
File#: 95-27-7821
Laboratory #(s): <u>71204039 5 YE RQ</u>
70417002 QS XEVJRQ
Examiner(s) & Symbols
Reviewed Not Reviewed UQ: Reviewed Not Reviewed
<u> </u>
Materials Reviewed Trial testimony transcript(s) of:
Laboratory Report(s):
Laboratory Number: 70417002 QS. XE VJRQ Date: Sept 4, 1987
Laboratory Number: Date:
Laboratory Number: Date:
Examiner Bench Notes of: Technicum + Haut Mulne
Laboratory Number: 764/7002 0/S QS YE VJ RQ UQ
Laboratory Number: 7052063/ D/5QS XE RQ
Laboratory-Number:
Page of 4
Initials: Cll

Was any other material reviewed? Yes
If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: Letter detal 4/15/87 From
Re: evidence, Easts asser. W/ Cuse. A fflidavit from DA
died 12/97, Criminal, Actions in Comminweith vs.
Tott
Results of Review
File #: 95-277821 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q2,Q3,Q4,Q5,Q6,
Q7. 08. Q10, Q11, Q124-6, Q13, Q13A, Q14, Q25-Q49, Q51, Q52, Q54
Q7, Q8, Q10, Q11, Q124-G, Q13, Q13A, Q14, Q25-Q49, Q51, Q52, Q54 Q55-Q59, Q63-Q66, K13, K14-K17, Q69 Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:
Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses
Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
Yes No Unable to Determine
2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the
bench notes?
Review of Testimony
Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses
Transcript not available
3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?
4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes?
5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? UYes UNO Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 4
Initials Cll

b6 b7C

Comments
(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments". Sheet, if needed)

(1) - Were appropriate Scientific lests anductel?	
Reviewer 15 umble to defermine if an alequite	•
and scientifically acceptable hair emperion was enducted	· ·
busel on the documentation in this cisefile.	
Abbreviations are used to describe Chiricteristics in hair	
- maring Some of these abbreviotins and their meinings	•
would only be known to Agent Malone (or perhaps his	
collegues at the FBI). Arraninal number of characteristics	
15 listed for Kie pulse his of victim. The characteristics	
listel are not very different from the churchenstics	
lister for K17, pulse hous of suspect, Although the examined	Malin
23700 fro K17, fact to real to the form of the factor	
Review completed at: 10 HEAR (Time); 5/20/99 (Date)	
Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 1,75 hrs.	
I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are	
fully documented on this report consisting of a total of pages.	le s
fully documented on this report consisting of a total of to pages. 4 pages handwritten CLL 10 4 pages handwritten CLL 10	. •
(Signature) (Date)	
	,
	•
and the state of t	-
	٠,
Page. 3 of 4	
Initials $c u$	

Additional Comments (Set forth by question #, if applicable)

	(but totals of quantum s, a apparation)
File#:	95-277821
list	's many more chir. An the suspect's him, it is not clear
4041	us chamines how these publichers from 2 diff souces
UXF	ter .
(2)	Are the examinations result supported and alequately
(J.)	downented in the bench notes.
	De At Il above the poles dinet Reduct on
	drument the disserger notes in the Rubic his
	from the suspect (K17) and the victim (K14).
	JUM. FILE SUGGER (KIT) WIGO THE
	the notes assouted with the QIDE how indicate
	I do no) in interpretation of the profes interpretations
	(from my interpretations of the notes + abbreviations). That perhips this was a pertial hur. It del
	This Reviewer interprets the abbrevisions in I case
•	This Reverence they was the appearance in the bus
	to Resh busilent bkn (broken). It this have
- 1	was truly broken this should have been mentined.
	IN the Report - this could alter the weight
<u>'' '',</u>	or interpretation / significance of the him
	assicution.
~	
<u> </u>	The phrise intepertent microscopic Chinesenstics's Confusing and could also be mislerling. This may
	s Confusing and could also be mislerling. This may
De	just an aloptel phrise-Repeatelly used but not
· unu	erstite. The liptes as las ouggers the according
for	mindependent.
	$^{\prime\prime}$
	Page 4 of 4

Initials: